arXiv:1606.08026v1 [math.FA] 26 Jun 2016

On Schatten-class perturbations of Toeplitz operators

Michael Didas, Jörg Eschmeier, Dominik Schillo

Let D denote the unit ball or the unit polydisc in $\mathbb{C}^n$ with $n \geq 2$. For $1 \leq p \leq 2n$ in the case of the ball and $1 \leq p < \infty$ for the polydisc, we show that a bounded operator S on the Hardy space $H^2(D)$ commutes with all analytic Toeplitz operators modulo the Schatten class $\mathcal{S}_p$ if and only if $S = X + K$ with an analytic Toeplitz operator X and an operator $K \in \mathcal{S}_p$. This partially answers a question of Guo and Wang [14]. For $1 \leq p < \infty$ and a strictly pseudoconvex or bounded symmetric and circled domain $D \subset \mathbb{C}^n$, we show that a given operator S on $H^2(D)$ is a Schatten-p-class perturbation of a Toeplitz operator if and only if $T_\theta^* S T_\theta - S \in \mathcal{S}_p$ for every inner function θ on D.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 47A13, 47B20, 47B35, 47L80, 47B47
Key words and phrases: analytic Toeplitz operators, essential commutant, Hardy spaces

§1 Introduction and main results

Let $D \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be either the unit ball $\mathbb{B}_n$ or the unit polydisc $\mathbb{D}^n$. In [14] Guo and Wang asked for a characterization of those bounded operators S on the Hardy space $H^2(D)$ that commute with all analytic Toeplitz operators modulo the Schatten classes

$$\mathcal{S}_p = \{X \in B(H^2(D)) : \|X\|_p = (\mathrm{tr}|X|^p)^{1/p} < \infty\}.$$

Note that there is a chain of inclusions

$$\mathcal{S}_0 \subset \mathcal{S}_p \subset \mathcal{S}_q \subset \mathcal{S}_\infty \qquad (1 \leq p < q < \infty),$$

where $\mathcal{S}_0$ stands for the finite-rank and $\mathcal{S}_\infty$ for the compact operators on $H^2(D)$. Questions concerning the p-essential commutant

$$\mathcal{T}_a^{\mathrm{ec},p} = \{S \in B(H^2(D)) : [S, X] = SX - XS \in \mathcal{S}_p \text{ for every } X \in \mathcal{T}_a\}$$

of the set of all analytic Toeplitz operators $\mathcal{T}_a = \{T_f : f \in H^\infty(D)\}$ date back at least to the work of Douglas and Sarason on Toeplitz operators on the unit disc. A complete characterization of the essential commutant $\mathcal{T}_a^{ec} = \mathcal{T}_a^{ec,\infty}$ of the analytic Toeplitz operators on the unit disc was given by Davidson [4] in 1977. A generalization of Davidson's result to the unit ball was obtained in 1997 by Ding and Sun [9] (see also Le [17] for related work). In a recent paper of Everard and the

first two authors [8] Davidson's result is extended to the case of smoothly bounded strictly pseudoconvex domains $D \subset \mathbb{C}^n$. More precisely, it is shown that

$$\mathcal{T}_a^{\rm ec} = \{T_f + K : K \text{ compact}, \, f \in L^\infty(\sigma) \text{ with } H_f \text{ compact}\},$$

where σ denotes the normalized surface measure on ∂D and H_f is the Hankel operator with symbol f. On the unit disc, a well known theorem of Hartman says that H_f is compact if and only if $f \in H^\infty + C$ and thus we recover the main result of [4].

At the other end of the chain, namely for $p = 0$, Guo and Wang completely solved both the ball and the polydisc case extending corresponding one-dimensional results of Gu [13]. They proved in [14] that

$$\mathcal{T}_a^{\rm ec,0} = \mathcal{T}_a + \mathcal{S}_0 \qquad (\text{if } D = \mathbb{B}_n \text{ or } D = \mathbb{D}^n \text{ and } n \geq 2)$$

while, for $n = 1$, $\mathcal{T}_a$ has to be replaced by the set of all Toeplitz operators T_f where f is the sum of a rational function and a bounded analytic function.

One of the goals of the present paper is to fill in at least some of the gap between $p = 0$ and $p = \infty$. Using results on the compactness and the Schatten-class membership of Hankel operators on $D = \mathbb{D}^n$ and $D = \mathbb{B}_n$ due to Cotlar and Sadoski [2] and Fang and Xia [12], respectively, we are able prove the following result:

1 Theorem. *Let $n \geq 2$ be given. If either*

$$D = \mathbb{B}_n \text{ and } 1 \leq p \leq 2n \qquad \text{or} \qquad D = \mathbb{D}^n \text{ and } 1 \leq p < \infty,$$

then the identity

$$\mathcal{T}_a^{ec,p} = \mathcal{T}_a + \mathcal{S}_p$$

holds on the Hardy space $H^2(D)$.

A result of Xia from [20], answering a question of Douglas [10], shows that a given operator $S \in B(H^2(\mathbb{D}))$ on the Hardy space of the unit disc is a compact perturbation of a Toeplitz operator T_f with symbol $f \in L^\infty(\partial\mathbb{D})$ if and only if $T_\theta^* S T_\theta - S$ is compact for every inner function θ. The question whether a corresponding result holds in higher dimensions seems still to be open. In the present paper we show that on the Hardy space $H^2(D)$ over the Shilov boundary of a smooth strictly pseudoconvex or bounded symmetric and circled domain $D \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ the corresponding characterization of Schatten-p-class perturbations of Toeplitz operators holds true for $1 \leq p < \infty$.

2 Theorem. *Let $D \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be a strictly pseudoconvex domain with smooth boundary or a bounded symmetric and circled domain and let $1 \leq p < \infty$. Then a given operator $S \in B(H^2(D))$ is of the form*

$$S = T_f + K,$$

where T_f is a Toeplitz operator with symbol $f \in L^\infty(\sigma)$ and $K \in \mathcal{S}_p(H^2(D))$, if and only if $T_\theta^* S T_\theta - S \in \mathcal{S}_p(H^2(D))$ for every inner function θ on D.

We prove the above results in the more general framework of regular A-isometries. A detailed study of these operator tuples and their associated Toeplitz operators can be found in the recent paper [11] of Everard and the second author. For the convenience of the reader, we briefly outline the basic facts. Let $K \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be a compact set and let A be a closed subalgebra of the algebra $C(K)$ of all continuous complex-valued functions on K such that $A \supset \mathbb{C}[z_1, \ldots, z_n]$. We denote by ∂_A the Shilov boundary of A and write $M_1^+(\partial_A)$ for the set of all regular probability measures on ∂_A. A subnormal tuple $T \in B(\mathcal{H})^n$ on a complex Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ is called an A-isometry [8] if the spectrum of the minimal normal extension $U \in B(\mathcal{K})^n$ of T is contained in ∂_A and if A is contained in the restriction algebra $\mathcal{R}_T = \{f \in L^\infty(\mu) : \Psi_U(f)\mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{H}\}$. Here $\mu \in M_1^+(\partial_A)$ is a fixed scalar spectral measure of U and $\Psi_U : L^\infty(\mu) \to B(\mathcal{K})$ denotes the associated L^∞-functional calculus of U.

An A-isometry $T \in B(\mathcal{H})^n$ is called regular if the triple $(A|\partial_A, \partial_A, \mu)$ is regular in the sense of Aleksandrov [1] which means by definition that, for every continuous function $\varphi \in C(\partial_A)$ with $\varphi > 0$ on ∂_A, there is a sequence of functions $(\varphi_k)_{k\geq 1}$ in A such that $|\varphi_k| < \varphi$ $(k \geq 1)$ on ∂_A and $\lim_{k\to\infty} |\varphi_k| = \varphi$ μ-a.e. on ∂_A. In his celebrated work [1], Aleksandrov proved that under this regularity assumption the abstract inner function problem related to A is solvable. This will be formulated in a more precise way below.

It turns out that regular A-isometries provide a natural and unified framework to treat some operator-theoretic questions on Hardy spaces over strictly pseudoconvex and bounded symmetric domains $D \subset \mathbb{C}^n$. More explicitly, taking A to be the domain algebra

$$A(D) = \{f \in C(\overline{D}) : f|D \text{ is holomorphic}\}$$

one can show for both classes of domains that with $A = A(D)$ the triple $(A|\partial_A, \partial_A, \mu)$ is regular whenever μ is a finite positive regular Borel measure on the Shilov boundary ∂_A (see Aleksandrov [1], or [5] and [6] for more detailed arguments). For each such measure μ, the abstract Hardy-space multiplication tuple

$$M_z = (M_{z_1}, \ldots, M_{z_n}) \in B(H_A^2(\mu))^n \quad \text{with} \quad H_A^2(\mu) = \overline{A|\partial_A}^{\|\cdot\|_{2,\mu}} \subset L^2(\mu)$$

is a regular A-isometry. By choosing μ as the canonical probability measure σ on $\partial_{A(D)}$ we obtain the usual Hardy spaces on the unit ball and polydisc.

Theorem 1 is obtained as a corollary of a more general result for regular A-isometries. To formulate this result, we need some more notations: Given a regular A-isometry $T \in B(\mathcal{H})^n$, let $\Psi_U : L^\infty(\mu) \to B(\mathcal{K})$ as above denote the functional calculus of the minimal normal extension U of T. Via the formula

$$T_f = P_{\mathcal{H}}\Psi_U(f)|\mathcal{H} \qquad (f \in L^\infty(\mu))$$

one can define Toeplitz operators with $L^\infty(\mu)$-symbols associated with T. Toeplitz operators of this type will be called concrete Toeplitz operators. The set of analytic

T-Toeplitz operators is defined as

$$\mathcal{T}_a(T) = \{T_f : f \in H^\infty_A(\mu)\} \subset B(\mathcal{H}) \quad \text{where} \quad H^\infty_A(\mu) = \overline{A}^{w^*} \subset L^\infty(\mu).$$

Since A is assumed to be regular, Aleksandrov's work [1] on the existence of abstract inner functions guarantees that the set

$$I_\mu = \{\theta \in H^\infty_A(\mu) : |\theta| = 1 \quad \mu - \text{a.e. on } \partial_A\}$$

of all μ-inner functions generates $L^\infty(\mu)$ as a von Neumann algebra (Coroallary 2.5 in [7]). Let us denote by $\mathcal{T}(T) \subset B(\mathcal{H})$ the set of all operators $X \in B(\mathcal{H})$ satisfying the Brown-Halmos type condition

$$T_{\overline{\theta}} X T_\theta = X \qquad \text{for every } \theta \in I_\mu.$$

We shall refer to these operators as abstract T-Toeplitz operators. Obviously $\mathcal{T}(T)$ contains each concrete T-Toeplitz operator T_f with symbol $f \in L^\infty(\mu)$. Using results of Prunaru [19] on spherical isometries one can show that

$$\mathcal{T}(T) = P_{\mathcal{H}}(U)'|\mathcal{H},$$

where $(U)'$ denotes the commutant of U (see [11] and the references therein). With these definitions the announced theorem on A-isometries reads as follows.

3 Theorem. *Let $T \in B(\mathcal{H})^n$ be a regular A-isometry and $1 \leq p < \infty$. Then every operator $S \in \mathcal{T}_a(T)^{ec,p}$ has the form*

$$S = X + K \quad \textit{with} \quad X \in \mathcal{T}(T) \textit{ and } K \in \mathcal{S}_p(\mathcal{H}).$$

In contrast with Theorem 4.5 from [11] which treats the case $p = \infty$, the tuple T is not assumed to be essentially normal here. But unfortunately, the methods of the present paper seem not to carry over to the case $p = \infty$, at least not in a straightforward way. So it remains open whether the essential-normality condition can be dropped from the hypotheses in the case $p = \infty$.

Starting point for the present note was a recent paper of Hasegawa [15] where p-essential commutants in the context of semicrossed products are studied. Among other results it was shown in [15] that Theorem 3 holds in the particular case where $T = M_z \in B(H^2(\mathbb{D}))$ is the multiplication operator with the argument on the Hardy space of the unit disc and $A = A(\mathbb{D})$ is the disc algebra. However, the methods in [15] are limited by the availability of an underlying abelian group structure needed to define suitable semicrossed products.

Under the condition that $W^*(U)$ is a maximal abelian von Neumann algebra, each abstract T-Toeplitz operator possesses an $L^\infty(\mu)$-symbol [11]. In this case, the above theorem yields in fact a characterization of $\mathcal{T}_a^{ec,p}$ in terms of Hankel operators. In analogy with the classical case, these are defined by the formula

$$H_f = (1 - P_{\mathcal{H}})\Psi_U(f)|\mathcal{H} \in B(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K} \ominus \mathcal{H}) \qquad (f \in L^\infty(\mu)).$$

4 Corollary. *Let $T \in B(\mathcal{H})^n$ be a regular A-isometry with minimal normal extension $U \in B(\mathcal{K})^n$ and scalar spectral measure $\mu \in M_1^+(\partial_A)$. If $W^*(U)$ is a maximal abelian von Neumann algebra, then an operator $S \in B(\mathcal{H})$ belongs to $\mathcal{T}_a(T)^{ec,p}$ if and only if $S = T_f + K$ with some $K \in \mathcal{S}_p(\mathcal{H})$ and a symbol $f \in L^\infty(\mu)$ having the property that $H_f \in \mathcal{S}_p(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K} \ominus \mathcal{H})$.*

If $(A|\partial_A, \partial_A, \mu)$ is regular in the sense of Aleksandrov, then $T = M_z \in B(H_A^2(\mu))^n$ is a regular A-isometry with minimal normal extension $U = M_z \in B(L^2(\mu))^n$. Since $W^*(U) = \{M_f : f \in L^\infty(\mu)\}$ is maximal abelian, Corollary 4 gives a complete description of the p-essential commutant $\mathcal{T}_a(T)^{ec,p}$ in this case. In particular, Corollary 4 applies to Hardy spaces on strictly pseudoconvex and bounded symmetric domains.

Similarly, Theorem 2 will follow as a particular case of a more general result for regular A-isometries.

§2 Analytic Toeplitz operators

Let us fix a regular A-isometry $T \in B(\mathcal{H})^n$ and let U, Ψ_U and μ be defined as in the introduction. Our proof of Theorem 3 makes use of a Toeplitz projection Φ_T established in [11] by Everard and the second author. We briefly recall some facts about Φ_T that will be used in the sequel. First of all, Φ_T is a completely positive unital projection

$$\Phi_T : B(\mathcal{H}) \to B(\mathcal{H}) \quad \text{with} \quad \Phi_T(B(\mathcal{H})) = \mathcal{T}(T).$$

The operators $\Phi_T(X)$ are obtained as weak*-limits $\Phi_T(X) = \lim_\alpha \Phi_{T,k_\alpha}(X)$, where (Φ_{T,k_α}) is a subnet of the sequence of mappings $\Phi_{T,k_\alpha} : B(\mathcal{H}) \to B(\mathcal{H})$ defined by

$$\Phi_{T,k}(X) = \frac{1}{k^k} \sum_{1 \le i_1, \ldots, i_k \le k} T^*_{\theta_k^{i_k} \ldots \theta_1^{i_1}} X T_{\theta_1^{i_1} \ldots \theta_k^{i_k}} \qquad (k \ge 1),$$

and $(\theta_k)_{k \ge 1}$ is a fixed sequence of μ-inner functions with $W^*((\theta_k)_{k \ge 1}) = L^\infty(\mu)$.

Proof of Theorem 3. Let $S \in \mathcal{T}_a^{ec,p}$ be given. Since the Schatten-p-norm dominates the operator norm, a straightforward application of the closed graph theorem shows that the linear map

$$C_S : H_A^\infty(\mu) \to \mathcal{S}_p(\mathcal{H}), \quad g \mapsto [S, T_g]$$

is norm-bounded. Using the definition of the Toeplitz projection Φ_T explained above, one obtains that the operator $\Phi_T(S) - S$ is the weak*-limit of a net consisting of convex combinations of operators of the form

$$T_\theta^*[S, T_\theta] \qquad (\theta \in I_\mu).$$

Since these convex combinations are bounded in the Schatten-p-norm by the norm of the operator C_S, the lower semicontinuity of the Schatten-p-norm with respect to the weak operator topology [16] (Proposition 2.11) implies that $\Phi_T(S) - S \in \mathcal{S}_p(\mathcal{H})$. But then

$$S = \Phi_T(S) + (S - \Phi_T(S)) \in \mathcal{T}(T) + \mathcal{S}_p(\mathcal{H}),$$

as was to be shown. □

To prepare the proof of Corollary 4 we formulate a series of elementary lemmas related to Hankel operators. To begin with observe that, for every μ-inner function $\theta \in I_\mu$, the operator $\Psi_U(\theta) \in B(\mathcal{K})$ is unitary and leaves $\mathcal{H}$ invariant.

5 Lemma. *For each μ-inner function $\theta \in I_\mu$, the Hankel operator $H_{\overline{\theta}} \in B(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K} \ominus \mathcal{H})$ is a partial isometry with*

$$\ker H_{\overline{\theta}} = \Psi_U(\theta)\mathcal{H} \qquad \textit{and} \qquad \operatorname{ran} H_{\overline{\theta}} = (\mathcal{K} \ominus \mathcal{H}) \ominus \big(\Psi_U(\overline{\theta})(\mathcal{K} \ominus \mathcal{H})\big).$$

Proof. Obviously, $H_{\overline{\theta}}\Psi_U(\theta)\mathcal{H} = P_{\mathcal{K}\ominus\mathcal{H}}\Psi_U(\overline{\theta})\Psi_U(\theta)\mathcal{H} = \{0\}$. Since on the other hand, $\Psi_U(\overline{\theta})(\mathcal{K} \ominus \Psi_U(\theta)\mathcal{H}) = \mathcal{K} \ominus \mathcal{H}$, it follows that $H_{\overline{\theta}} = \Psi_U(\overline{\theta})$ on $\mathcal{H} \ominus \Psi_U(\theta)\mathcal{H}$. Hence $H_{\overline{\theta}}$ is a partial isometry with $\ker H_{\overline{\theta}} = \Psi_U(\theta)\mathcal{H}$. The observation that

$$H_{\overline{\theta}}(\mathcal{H} \ominus \Psi_U(\theta)\mathcal{H}) = (\Psi_U(\overline{\theta})\mathcal{H}) \ominus \mathcal{H} = (\mathcal{K} \ominus \mathcal{H}) \ominus \big(\Psi_U(\overline{\theta})(\mathcal{K} \ominus \mathcal{H})\big)$$

completes the proof. □

By Lemma 5, for each μ-inner function $\theta \in I_\mu$, the operator

$$P_\theta = H_{\overline{\theta}} H_{\overline{\theta}}^* \in B(\mathcal{K} \ominus \mathcal{H})$$

is the orthogonal projection of $\mathcal{K} \ominus \mathcal{H}$ onto the space

$$\mathcal{K}_\theta = (\mathcal{K} \ominus \mathcal{H}) \ominus \big(\Psi_U(\overline{\theta})(\mathcal{K} \ominus \mathcal{H})\big).$$

6 Lemma. *With the notations from above, we have $\mathcal{K} \ominus \mathcal{H} = \bigvee (\mathcal{K}_\theta : \theta \in I_\mu)$.*

Proof. The orthogonal complement of the space on the right with respect to $\mathcal{K} \ominus \mathcal{H}$ is given by

$$\bigcap_{\theta \in I_\mu} \Psi_U(\overline{\theta})(\mathcal{K} \ominus \mathcal{H}) = \bigcap_{\theta \in I_\mu} \mathcal{K} \ominus (\Psi_U(\overline{\theta})\mathcal{H}) = \mathcal{K} \ominus \bigvee_{\theta \in I_\mu} \Psi_U(\overline{\theta})\mathcal{H}.$$

The closed linear span $\bigvee_{\theta \in I_\mu} \Psi_U(\overline{\theta})\mathcal{H}$ contains $\mathcal{H}$ and is invariant under the von Neumann algebra $W^*(\Psi_U(I_\mu)) = \Psi_U(W^*(I_\mu)) = W^*(U)$. Thus the assertion follows from the minimality of U as a normal extension of T. □

There is a canonical partial order on the set I_μ of all μ-inner functions. By definition $\theta_1 \le \theta_2$ if there is a μ-inner function $\theta \in I_\mu$ with $\theta_2 = \theta_1\theta$. Obviously, the partially ordered set $(I_\mu, \le)$ is directed upwards. If $\theta_1 \le \theta_2$ and $\theta_2 = \theta_1\theta$ as above, then

$$\Psi_U(\overline{\theta}_2)(\mathcal{K} \ominus \mathcal{H}) = \Psi_U(\overline{\theta}_1)\big(\Psi_U(\overline{\theta})(\mathcal{K} \ominus \mathcal{H})\big) \subset \Psi_U(\overline{\theta}_1)(\mathcal{K} \ominus \mathcal{H})$$

and hence $P_{\theta_1} \le P_{\theta_2}$.

7 Lemma. *The net $(P_\theta)_{\theta \in I_\mu}$ converges strongly to the identity operator $I_{\mathcal{K}\ominus\mathcal{H}}$ on $\mathcal{K} \ominus \mathcal{H}$.*

Proof. By Lemma 6, the space $\mathcal{K} \ominus \mathcal{H}$ is the closed linear span of the set

$$M = \{P_\theta h : \theta \in I_\mu \text{ and } h \in \mathcal{K} \ominus \mathcal{H}\}.$$

Since the net $(P_\theta)_{\theta \in I_\mu}$ converges pointwise to the identity operator on M, it converges pointwise to the identity operator on the closed linear span $\mathcal{K} \ominus \mathcal{H}$ of M. □

With these preparations we can prove the claimed result in the maximal abelian case.

Proof of Corollary 4. Suppose first that $S = T_f + K$ with $K \in \mathcal{S}_p(\mathcal{H})$ and a symbol $f \in L^\infty(\mu)$ such that $H_f \in \mathcal{S}_p(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K} \ominus \mathcal{H})$. To prove that $S \in \mathcal{T}_a(T)^{\mathrm{ec},p}$, it suffices to observe that, for $g \in H^\infty_A(\mu)$ and $f \in L^\infty(\mu)$, we have the identity

$$[T_f, T_g] = T_{fg} - T_g T_f = H^*_{\overline{g}} H_f.$$

Conversely, suppose that $S \in \mathcal{T}_a(T)^{\mathrm{ec},p}$. By Theorem 3 we know that $S = X + K$ with $X \in \mathcal{T}(T)$ and $K \in \mathcal{S}_p(\mathcal{H})$. Since $W^*(U)$ is maximal abelian it follows that $\mathcal{T}(T) = P_\mathcal{H} W^*(U)'|\mathcal{H} = P_\mathcal{H} W^*(U)|\mathcal{H}$. Hence there is a function $f \in L^\infty(\mu)$ with $X = T_f$. Using the formula for $[T_f, T_g]$ from the first part of the proof, we find that $H^*_{\overline{\theta}} H_f \in \mathcal{S}_p(\mathcal{H})$ for all μ-inner functions $\theta \in I_\mu$. By Lemma 7, the Hankel operator

$$H_f = \mathrm{SOT} - \lim_{\theta \in I_\mu} P_\theta H_f = \mathrm{SOT} - \lim_{\theta \in I_\mu} H_{\overline{\theta}}(H^*_{\overline{\theta}} H_f)$$

is the strong limit of a net in $\mathcal{S}_p(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K} \ominus \mathcal{H})$. With the notations from the proof of Theorem 3 we obtain that

$$\|P_\theta H_f\|_p \le \|H_{\overline{\theta}}\| \, \|H^*_{\overline{\theta}} H_f\|_p = \|H_{\overline{\theta}}\| \, \|[T_f, T_\theta]\|_p \le \|C_{T_f}\|$$

for all $\theta \in I_\mu$. Using again the semicontinuity of the Schatten-p-norm with respect to the weak operator topology, we conclude that $H_f \in \mathcal{S}_p(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K} \ominus \mathcal{H})$. □

Proof of Theorem 1. With $D = \mathbb{B}_n$ (or $D = \mathbb{D}^n$, respectively) we apply Corollary 4 to the canonical probability measure σ on $\partial\mathbb{B}_n$ ($\mathbb{T}^n$, respectively) and $T = M_z \in B(H^2_{A(D)})^n$. In both cases, $H^2_{A(D)}$ is the classical Hardy space on the corresponding

Shilov boundary of D. If $S \in \mathcal{T}_a^{\mathrm{ec},p}$, then the cited corollary implies that $S = T_f + K$ with $K \in \mathcal{S}_p$ and

$$H_f \in \mathcal{S}_p(H^2_{A(D)}, L^2(\sigma) \ominus H^2_{A(D)}).$$

By our assumption that $1 \leq p \leq 2n$ in the ball-case, Theorem 1.5 from Xia and Fang [12] says that $H_f \in \mathcal{S}_{2n}$ is the zero operator. In the polydisc-case a theorem of Cotlar and Sadoski [2] asserts that there are no non-zero compact Hankel operators. In both cases, this implies that the symbol $f \in L^\infty(\sigma)$ actually belongs to $f \in H^\infty(\sigma)$. Since the other direction is trivial, the proof is complete. □

Let $T \in B(\mathcal{H})^n$ be a regular A-isometry. The methods used above can be used in a similar way to describe the commutant of $\mathcal{T}_a(T)$ modulo the set $\mathcal{S}_0(\mathcal{H})$ of finite-rank operators on $\mathcal{H}$, at least in the case where T has empty point spectrum. By definition the point spectrum of T is

$$\sigma_p(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^n : \bigcap_{i=1}^n \ker(\lambda_i - T_i) \neq \{0\}\}.$$

If $\sigma_p(T) = \varnothing$, then the scalar spectral measure $\mu \in M_1^+(\partial_A)$ of the minimal normal extension $U \in B(\mathcal{K})^n$ is continuous, and Aleksandrov's results on the abstract inner function problem imply that there is a sequence $(J_k)_{k\geq 1}$ of isometries in $\mathcal{T}_a(T)$ with $w^*\text{-}\lim_{k\to\infty} J_k = 0$ in $B(\mathcal{H})$. Exactly as in the particular case of spherical isometries (Theorem 3.5 in [7]), the sequence $(J_k)_{k\geq 1}$ can be used to prove the first part of the following result.

8 Theorem. *Let $T \in B(\mathcal{H})^n$ be a regular A-isometry with minimal normal extension $U \in B(\mathcal{K})^n$ and scalar spectral measure $\mu \in M_1^+(\partial_A)$. Suppose that $\sigma_p(T) = \varnothing$. Then every operator $S \in \mathcal{T}_a(T)^{ec,0}$ has the form*

$$S = X + K \qquad \text{with } X \in \mathcal{T}(T) \text{ and } K \in \mathcal{S}_0(\mathcal{H}).$$

If in additdion $W^*(U)$ is maximal abelian, then

$$\mathcal{T}_a(T)^{ec,0} = \{T_f + K : \ K \in \mathcal{S}_0(\mathcal{H}) \text{ and } f \in L^\infty(\mu) \text{ with } H_f \in \mathcal{S}_0(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K} \ominus \mathcal{H})\}.$$

Proof. For the proof of the first part, we refer to Theorem 3.5 in [7]. Suppose that $W^*(U)$ is maximal abelian. Exactly as in the proof of Corollary 4 the formula

$$[T_f, T_g] = H^*_{\overline{g}} H_f \qquad (g \in H^\infty_A(\mu), f \in L^\infty(\mu))$$

shows that $T_f + K \in \mathcal{T}_a(T)^{ec,0}$ for $K \in \mathcal{S}_0(\mathcal{H})$ and every function $f \in L^\infty(\mu)$ with the property that H_f is a finite-rank operator. Conversely, let $f \in L^\infty(\mu)$ be a symbol such that $[T_f, T_g]$ is a finite-rank operator for all $g \in H^\infty_A(\mu)$. Since $\mathcal{T}_a(T) \subset B(\mathcal{H})$ is closed (Corollary 3.6 in [11]), it follows from part (b) of Lemma 3.4 in [7] that there is a constant $M > 0$ such that $\mathrm{rank}([T_f, T_g]) \leq M$ for all $g \in H^\infty_A(\mu)$. As in the proof of Corollary 4 we find that

$$H_f = \mathrm{SOT} - \lim_{\theta \in I_\mu} H_{\overline{\theta}}(H^*_{\overline{\theta}} H_f) = \mathrm{SOT} - \lim_{\theta \in I_\mu} H_{\overline{\theta}}[T_f, T_\theta].$$

Hence part (a) of Lemma 3.4 from [7] shows that $\operatorname{rank} H_f \leq M$. Since by the first part of Theorem 8 and the hypothesis that $W^*(U)$ is maximal abelian every operator $S \in \mathcal{T}_a(T)^{ec,0}$ has the form $S = T_f + K$ with $f \in L^\infty(\mu)$ and $K \in \mathcal{S}_0(\mathcal{H})$, the above observations complete the proof. □

As particular cases, Theorem 8 contains the results of Guo and Wang [14] on operators commuting with analytic Toeplitz operators modulo funite-rank operators on the unit ball and the unit polydisc. To obtain the concrete form of the results from [14] it suffices to use Kronecker's theorem on finite-rank Hankel operators on $\mathbb{D}$, and the results on Schatten class Hankel operators on $\mathbb{B}_n$ and $\mathbb{D}^n$ cited in the proof of Theorem 1.

§3 On Schatten class perturbations of Toeplitz operators

Let $D \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be a bounded domain. In this section we specialize to the case that $T \in B(\mathcal{H})^n$ is a regular A-isometry with respect to the domain algebra

$$A = A(D) = \{f \in C(\overline{D}) : \ f|D \in \mathcal{O}(D)\}.$$

Furthermore, we make the additional assumption that the scalar spectral measure $\mu \in M_1^+(\partial_A)$ associated with T is a faithful Henkin measure. The condition that $\mu \in M_1^+(\partial_A)$ is a Henkin measure means by definition that there is a contractive and weak* continuous algebra homomorphism

$$r_\mu : H^\infty(D) \to L^\infty(\mu)$$

which extends the canonical map $A(D) \to L^\infty(\mu),\ f \mapsto [f|_{\partial_A}]$. Here the weak* closed subspace $H^\infty(D) \subset L^\infty(D)$ is regarded as the dual space of the quotient space $L^1(D)/{}^\perp H^\infty(D)$. If r_μ is even isometric, then μ is said to be a faithful Henkin measure. In this case the range $\operatorname{ran} r_\mu \subset L^\infty(\mu)$ is weak* closed and

$$r_\mu : H^\infty(D) \to \operatorname{ran} r_\mu$$

is a dual algebra isomorphism, that is, an isometric isomorphism of Banach algebras and a weak* homeomorphism. In particular, the range of r_μ contains the weak* closed subalgebra

$$H^\infty_A(\mu) = \overline{A|_{\partial_A}}^{w^*} \subset L^\infty(\mu).$$

To simplify the notation we often write $f^* = r_\mu(f) \in L^\infty(\mu)$ for the boundary value of a function $f \in H^\infty(D)$. As before, we denote by

$$I_\mu = \{\theta \in H^\infty_A(\mu) : \ |\theta| = 1 \ \mu\text{-almost everywhere on } \partial_A\}$$

the set of all μ-inner functions. We call the elements of

$$I_D = \{\theta \in H^\infty(D) : \ r_\mu(\theta) \in I_\mu\}$$

the inner functions on D (with respect to μ) and write

$$B_{H^\infty(D)} = \{f \in H^\infty(D) : \ \|f\|_D \leq 1\}$$

for the closed unit ball of $H^\infty(D)$. A well known and standard argument (cf. Lemma 14.1.6 in [3]) shows that a sequence $(f_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ in $H^\infty(D)$ is weak* convergent to a function $f \in H^\infty(D)$ if and only if $(\|f_k\|_D)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ is bounded and $(f_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges to f pointwise, or equivalently, uniformly on all compact subsets of D.

The condition that $(A|\partial_A, \partial_A, \mu)$ is regular implies that the space $L^\infty(\mu)$ is generated as a von Neumann algebra by I_μ (Corollary 2.5 in [7]). Since $I_\mu = r_\mu(I_D)$ and since $r_\mu : H^\infty(D) \to L^\infty(\mu)$ is injective, it follows that I_D contains at least one non-constant inner function θ. But then $(\theta^k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a weak* zero sequence in $H^\infty(D)$ and $(r_\mu(\theta^k))_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a weak* zero sequence in $L^\infty(\mu)$. As an elementary consequence we obtain that the measure μ is necessarily continuous. Indeed, if there were a point $z \in \partial_A$ with $\mu(\{z\}) > 0$, then we could conclude that $|\theta^*(z)| = 1$, which is impossible since

$$\theta^*(z)^k \mu(\{z\}) = \langle \chi_{\{z\}}, r_\mu(\theta^k)\rangle_{(L^1(\mu),L^\infty(\mu))} \xrightarrow{k} 0.$$

We need conditions which ensure that the infinite product a given sequence $(\theta_j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ of inner functions on D is an inner function again.

9 Lemma. *Let $w \in D$ be arbitrary and let $(u_j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in $B_{H^\infty(D)}$ such that $u_j(w) \neq 0$ for all j and such that*

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |1 - u_j(w)| < \infty.$$

Then the sequence $(v_N)_{N\in\mathbb{N}} = \left(\prod_{j=0}^N u_j\right)_{N\in\mathbb{N}}$ is weak* convergent to a function $v \in B_{H^\infty(D)}$ with $v(w) \neq 0$.

Proof. The hypothesis of the lemma implies that the limit

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} v_N(w) \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$$

exists. By Montel's theorem there is a subsequence $(v_{N_j})_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ of $(v_N)_{N\in\mathbb{N}}$ which is weak* convergent to some function $v \in B_{H^\infty(D)}$. Let $(v_{\tilde{N}_j})_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ be another weak* convergent subsequence of $(v_N)_{N\in\mathbb{N}}$ with weak* limit $\tilde{v}$. Then

$$|v(z)| = \lim_{N\to\infty} |v_N(z)| = |\tilde{v}(z)| \quad (z \in D)$$

and hence $|v/\tilde{v}| = 1$ on the complement of the zero set $Z(\tilde{v})$ of $\tilde{v}$ in D. By Riemann's extension theorem and the open mapping principle from several complex variables it follows that $v/\tilde{v} \equiv e^{i\theta}$ on $D \setminus Z(\tilde{v})$ for some real number $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$. Because of $v(w) = \tilde{v}(w) \neq 0$ we obtain that $v = \tilde{v}$. The above arguments show that each

subsequence of $(v_N)_{N\in\mathbb{N}}$ has a subsequence which is weak* convergent to v. But then it easily follows that the sequence $(v_N)_{N\in\mathbb{N}}$ itself is weak* convergent to v. $\square$

For $g \in L^\infty(\mu)$, we denote by

$$M_g : L^2(\mu) \to L^2(\mu),\ h \mapsto gh$$

the induced multiplication operator.

10 Theorem. *For a given sequence $(\theta_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ in $B_{H^\infty(D)}$, the following conditions are equivalent:*

(i) *there is a point $w \in D$ such that $\lim_{k\to\infty} \theta_k(w) = 1$,*

(ii) $\lim_{k\to\infty} \|\theta_k^* - 1\|_{L^2(\mu)} = 0$,

(iii) $\mathrm{SOT} - \lim_{k\to\infty} M_{\theta_k^*} = 1_{L^2(\mu)}$,

(iv) $\mathrm{WOT} - \lim_{k\to\infty} M_{\theta_k^*} = 1_{L^2(\mu)}$,

(v) *w^*-$\lim_{k\to\infty} \theta_k^* = 1$ with respect to the weak* topology of $L^\infty(\mu) = L^1(\mu)'$,*

(vi) $\lim_{k\to\infty} \int_{\partial_A} \theta_k^*\, d\mu = 1$.

Proof. Suppose that there is a point $w \in D$ with $\lim_{k\to\infty} \theta_k(w) = 1$. Then the limit θ of any weak* convergent subsequence of $(\theta_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a function $\theta \in B_{H^\infty(D)}$ with $\theta(w) = 1$. By the maximum modulus principle it follows that necessarily $\theta \equiv 1$. As in the proof of Lemma 9 we may conclude that $(\theta_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ is weak* convergent to the constant function 1. The weak* continuity of the boundary value map r_μ implies that $w^* - \lim_{k\to\infty} \theta_k^* = 1$ in $L^\infty(\mu) = L^1(\mu)'$. In particular,

$$\int_{\partial_A} \theta_k^*\, d\mu = \langle 1, \theta_k^* \rangle \xrightarrow{k} \int_{\partial_A} 1\, d\mu = 1.$$

But then we obtain that

$$\int_{\partial_A} |1 - \theta_k^*|^2 d\mu \le \int_{\partial_A} 2(1 - \mathrm{Re}\ \theta_k^*) d\mu \xrightarrow{k} 0.$$

Let us assume that $\lim_{k\to\infty} \theta_k^* = 1$ in $L^2(\mu)$. Then there is a subsequence $(\theta_{k_j}^*)_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ of $(\theta_k^*)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ which converges μ-almost everywhere on ∂_A to the constant function 1. By the dominated convergence theorem

$$\mathrm{SOT} - \lim_{j\to\infty} M_{\theta_{k_j}^*} = 1_{L^2(\mu)}.$$

By applying this argument to each subsequence of $(\theta_k^*)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ we find that

$$\mathrm{SOT} - \lim_{k\to\infty} M_{\theta_k^*} = 1_{L^2(\mu)}.$$

To conclude the proof, let us suppose that $\mathrm{WOT}-\lim_{k\to\infty} M_{\theta_k^*} = 1_{L^2(\mu)}$. Since each function in $L^1(\mu)$ is the product of two functions in $L^2(\mu)$, it follows that

$$w^* - \lim_{k\to\infty} \theta_k^* = 1 \text{ in } L^\infty(\mu) = L^1(\mu)'.$$

But then the fact that $r_\mu : H^\infty(D) \to \operatorname{ran} r_\mu$ is a weak* homeomorphism implies that $w^* - \lim_{k\to\infty} \theta_k = 1$ in $H^\infty(D)$ and hence that $(\theta_k) \xrightarrow{k} 1$ uniformly on all compact subsets of D. □

An inspection of the above proof shows that the equivalence of the conditions (ii)-(vi) holds more generally with $(\theta_k^*)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ replaced by an arbitrary sequence $(f_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ in $B_{L^\infty(\mu)}$.

11 Corollary. *Let $w \in D$ and let $(u_j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in $B_{H^\infty(D)}$ with $u_j(w) \neq 0$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and*

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |1 - u_j(w)| < \infty.$$

Then the sequence $(v_N)_{N\in\mathbb{N}} = \left(\prod_{j=0}^N u_j\right)_{N\in\mathbb{N}}$ of partial products is weak* convergent in $H^\infty(D)$ to some function $v \in H^\infty(D)$. Furthermore,

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} \|v_N^* - v^*\|_{L^2(\mu)} = 0$$

and $M_{v^*} = \mathrm{SOT}-\lim_{N\to\infty} M_{v_N^*}$ in $B(L^2(\mu))$. If each u_j is an inner function on D, then so is v.

Proof. By Lemma 9 the limit $v = w^* - \lim_{N\to\infty} v_N^*$ exists in $H^\infty(D)$. By applying Lemma 9 to the sequences $(u_j)_{j\geq N+1}$ we obtain in the same way that the products

$$\theta_N = \prod_{j=N+1}^{\infty} u_j \in B_{H^\infty(D)}$$

converge uniformly on all compact subsets of D, or equivalently, with respect to the weak* topology of $H^\infty(D)$. The estimates

$$|\theta_N(w) - 1| = \lim_{k\to\infty} \left| \left(\prod_{j=N+1}^{k} 1 + (u_j(w) - 1) \right) - 1 \right|$$

$$\leq \lim_{k\to\infty} \left[\left(\prod_{j=N+1}^{k} 1 + |u_j(w) - 1| \right) - 1 \right] \leq \lim_{k\to\infty} \left(e^{\sum_{j=N+1}^{k} |u_j(w)-1|} - 1 \right)$$

imply that $\lim_{N\to\infty} \theta_N(w) = 1$. But then Theorem 10 yields that

$$v^* - v_N^* = v_N^*(\theta_N^* - 1) \xrightarrow{N} 0 \text{ in } L^2(\mu)$$

and that

$$M_{v^*} - M_{v_N^*} = M_{v_N^*}(M_{\theta_N^*} - 1_{L^2(\mu)}) \xrightarrow{N} 0$$

in $B(L^2(\mu))$ with respect to the strong operator topology. Since $(v_N^*)_{N\in\mathbb{N}}$ possesses a subsequence which converges pointwise μ-almost everywhere to v^*, also the last assertion follows. □

Let $(S_j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of bounded operators $S_j \in B(\mathcal{H})$. If $\mathrm{SOT}-\lim_{j\to\infty} S_j = 0$, then a standard compactness argument allows one to conclude that

$$\lim_{j\to\infty} \|S_j K\| = 0 \text{ and } \lim_{j\to\infty} \|K S_j^*\| = \lim_{j\to\infty} \|S_j K^*\| = 0$$

for each compact operator $K \in K(\mathcal{H})$ on $\mathcal{H}$. Using the well known fact that each Schatten class operator $S \in \mathcal{S}_p(\mathcal{H})$ $(1 \le p < \infty)$ can be written as a product $S = K\tilde{S}$ of a compact operator $K \in K(\mathcal{H})$ and an operator $\tilde{S} \in \mathcal{S}_p(\mathcal{H})$, one obtains the following elementary consequence.

12 Lemma. *Let $(S_j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in $B(\mathcal{H})$ such that*

$$\mathrm{SOT} - \lim_{j\to\infty} S_j = 0.$$

Then, for $1 \le p \le \infty$ and $S \in \mathcal{S}_p(\mathcal{H})$, we have

$$\lim_{j\to\infty} \|S_j S\|_p = \lim_{j\to\infty} \|S S_j^*\|_p = 0.$$

Proof. For $p < \infty$, write $S = K\tilde{S}$ with $K \in K(\mathcal{H})$ and $\tilde{S} \in \mathcal{S}_p(\mathcal{H})$. Then

$$\|S_j S\| \le \|S_j K\| \, \|\tilde{S}\|_p \xrightarrow{j} 0$$

and $\|S S_j^*\|_p = \|S_j S^*\|_p \xrightarrow{j} 0$, since also $S^* \in \mathcal{S}_p(\mathcal{H})$. □

We need some additional continuity properties of the Toeplitz calculus.

13 Lemma. *Let $(f_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a bounded sequence in $L^\infty(\mu)$ such that*

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \|f_k - f\|_{L^2(\mu)} = 0$$

for some function $f \in L^\infty(\mu)$. Then

$$\mathrm{SOT} - \lim_{k\to\infty} T_{f_k} = T_f \quad \textit{and} \quad \mathrm{SOT} - \lim_{k\to\infty} T_{f_k}^* = T_f^*.$$

Proof. Each subsequence of $(f_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ possesses a subsequence $(g_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ such that $(g_k) \xrightarrow{k} f$ pointwise μ-almost everywhere on ∂_A. By Lemma 3.4 in [8] the sequence $(T_{g_k})_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ is SOT-convergent to T_f. But then an elementary argument shows that $\mathrm{SOT}-\lim_{k\to\infty} T_{f_k} = T_f$. Since $(\overline{f}_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ and $\overline{f}$ satisfy the same hypotheses as $(f_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ and f, also the second assertion follows. □

Up to now we only used Toeplitz operators with symbol $f \in L^\infty(\mu)$. To simplify the notation, we define $T_f = T_{r_\mu(f)}$ for $f \in H^\infty(D)$.

14 Corollary. *Let $(\theta_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in I_D such that there is a point $w \in D$ with $\lim_{k\to\infty}(1 - |\theta_k(w)|) = 0$. Then, for $1 \le p \le \infty$, we have*

(i) $\mathrm{SOT} - \lim_{k\to\infty} T^*_{\theta_k} X T_{\theta_k} = X$ *for all* $X \in B(\mathcal{H})$,

(ii) $\lim_{k\to\infty} \|T^*_{\theta_k} X T_{\theta_k} - X\|_p = 0$ *for all* $X \in \mathcal{S}_p(\mathcal{H})$,

(iii) if $X \in B(\mathcal{H})$ *and* $u \in H^\infty(D)$ *with* $T^*_u X T_u - X \in \mathcal{S}_p(\mathcal{H})$, *then*

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \|T^*_u(T^*_{\theta_k} X T_{\theta_k} - X)T_u - (T^*_{\theta_k} X T_{\theta_k} - X)\|_p = 0.$$

Proof. Since $T^*_{\theta_k} X T_{\theta_k} = T^*_{\epsilon\theta_k} X T_{\epsilon\theta_k}$ for each complex number ϵ with $|\epsilon| = 1$, we may assume that $\theta_k(w) \ge 0$ for all k. Then part (i) follows immediately from Theorem 10 and Lemma 13. For $X \in \mathcal{S}_p(\mathcal{H})$, a combination of Lemma 12 and Lemma 13 yields that

$$\begin{aligned} \|T^*_{\theta_k} X T_{\theta_k} - X\|_p &= \|T^*_{\theta_k}(X T_{\theta_k} - T_{\theta_k} X)\|_p \\ &\le \|X(T_{\theta_k} - 1)\|_p + \|(1 - T_{\theta_k})X\|_p \xrightarrow{k} 0 \end{aligned}$$

for $1 \le p \le \infty$. Fix an operator $X \in B(\mathcal{H})$ and a function $u \in H^\infty(D)$ such that $T^*_u X T_u - X \in \mathcal{S}_p(\mathcal{H})$ for some $p \in [1, \infty]$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} &\|T^*_u(T^*_{\theta_k} X T_{\theta_k} - X)T_u &- (T^*_{\theta_k} X T_{\theta_k} - X)\|_p \\ = &\|T^*_{\theta_k}(T^*_u X T_u - X)T_{\theta_k} &- (T^*_u X T_u - X)\|_p \xrightarrow{k} 0 \end{aligned}$$

by part (ii). □

Using the weak*-continuity of the $L^\infty(\mu)$-functional calculus of the minimal normal extension U of T we obtain the following variant of part (i) of Corollary 14.

15 Lemma. *Let $(v_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in $L^\infty(\mu)$ with $w^* - \lim_{k\to\infty} = v \in L^\infty(\mu)$. Then, for each compact operator $K \in K(\mathcal{H})$,*

$$\mathrm{WOT} - \lim_{k\to\infty} T^*_{v_k} K T_{v_k} = T^*_v K T_v.$$

Proof. Since the functional calculus map $\Psi_U : L^\infty(\mu) \to B(\mathcal{K})$ of the minimal normal extension $U \in B(\mathcal{K})^n$ of T and the compression mapping $B(\mathcal{K}) \to B(\mathcal{H}), X \mapsto P_{\mathcal{H}} X|\mathcal{H}$, are weak* continuous, also the mapping

$$L^\infty(\mu) \to B(\mathcal{H}), f \mapsto T_f = P_{\mathcal{H}} \Psi_U(f)|\mathcal{H}$$

is weak* continuous. Hence, under the hypothesis of the lemma, we find that

$$\mathrm{SOT} - \lim_{k\to\infty} K T_{v_k} = K T_v$$

for each compact operator $K \in K(\mathcal{H})$. But then

$$T_{v_k}^* K T_{v_k} - T_v^* K T_v = T_{v_k}^* K (T_{v_k} - T_v) + (T_{v_k}^* - T_v^*) K T_v \overset{k}{\to} 0$$

converges to zero in the weak operator topology. □

As mentioned before, the abstract T-Toeplitz operators are precisely the operators of the form

$$T_Y = P_{\mathcal{H}} Y | \mathcal{H} \in B(\mathcal{H})$$

with $Y \in (U)'$. If $S = T_Y + K$ with $Y \in (U)'$ and $K \in \mathcal{S}_p(\mathcal{H})$, then using the fact that $T_\theta^* T_Y T_\theta = T_Y$ for all $\theta \in I_\mu$, we find that

$$T_\theta^* S T_\theta - S \in \mathcal{S}_p(\mathcal{H})$$

for $\theta \in I_\mu$. Our aim is to show that conversely each operator $S \in B(\mathcal{H})$ satisfying this condition is a Schatten-p-class perturbation of a T-Toeplitz operator. The proof is based on an observation which in the particular case of Toeplitz operators on the Hardy space of the unit disc $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ is due to Xia [20].

Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Suppose that $S = T_Y + K$ with $Y \in (U)'$ and $K \in \mathcal{S}_p(\mathcal{H})$. Then, for each weak* convergent sequence $(\theta_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ in I_μ such that its weak* limit $w^* - \lim_{k\to\infty} \theta_k = \alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ is a constant function, the limit

$$X = \mathrm{WOT} - \lim_{k\to\infty} T_{\theta_k}^* S T_{\theta_k} = \mathrm{WOT} - \lim_{k\to\infty} T_{\theta_k}^* (T_Y + K) T_{\theta_k} = T_Y + |\alpha|^2 K$$

exists by Lemma 15 and satisfies

$$K = S - T_Y = S - X + |\alpha|^2 K = \frac{S - X}{1 - |\alpha|^2}$$

as well as

$$T_Y = S - K = \big(1 - \frac{1}{1 - |\alpha|^2}\big) S + \frac{X}{1 - |\alpha|^2} = \frac{1}{1 - |\alpha|^2} \big(X - |\alpha|^2 S\big),$$

provided that $|\alpha| \neq 1$.

Conversely, suppose that $S \in B(\mathcal{H})$ is an operator such that

$$T_\theta^* S T_\theta - S \in \mathcal{S}_p(\mathcal{H})$$

for all $\theta \in I_\mu$. Since T is a regular A-isometry with a continuous scalar spectral measure μ, Aleksandrov's results (Corollary 29 in [1]) on the existence of μ-inner functions allow us to choose, for any given complex number $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\alpha| < 1$, a sequence $(\theta_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ in I_μ such that

$$w^* - \lim_{k\to\infty} \theta_k = \alpha \text{ in } L^\infty(\mu).$$

By passing to a subsequence one can achieve that in addition the limit

$$X = \mathrm{WOT} - \lim_{k\to\infty} T^*_{\theta_k} S T_{\theta_k} \in B(\mathcal{H})$$

exists. Using Lemma 15 one obtains, for any μ-inner function $\theta \in I_\mu$,

$$T^*_\theta X T_\theta = X + \mathrm{WOT} - \lim_{k\to\infty} T^*_{\theta_k}(T^*_\theta S T_\theta - S)T_{\theta_k} = X + |\alpha|^2(T^*_\theta S T_\theta - S),$$

or equivalently,

$$T^*_\theta (X - |\alpha|^2 S) T_\theta = X - |\alpha|^2 S.$$

Hence there is a symbol $Y \in (U)'$ with

$$T_Y = \frac{1}{1-|\alpha|^2}(X - |\alpha|^2 S).$$

Thus S is a Schatten-p-class perturbation of an abstract T-Toeplitz operator if and only if $S - X \in \mathcal{S}_p(\mathcal{H})$. Now let us suppose that $1 \le p < \infty$. Since

$$S - X = \mathrm{WOT} - \lim_{k\to\infty} (S - T^*_{\theta_k} S T_{\theta_k})$$

and since the Schatten-p-norm is lower semicontinuous with respect to the weak operator topology, to conclude that $S - X \in \mathcal{S}_p(\mathcal{H})$, it suffices to choose the sequence $(\theta_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ in such a way that

$$\sup_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \|S - T^*_{\theta_k} S T_{\theta_k}\|_p < \infty.$$

Our results on products of inner functions and the following extension of the ϵ-δ-criterion from [20] will allow us to complete the proof along these lines.

16 Proposition. *Let $1 \le p \le \infty$ and $w \in D$ be arbitrary. Suppose that $S \in B(\mathcal{H})$ is an operator such that*

$$T^*_\theta S T_\theta - S \in \mathcal{S}_p(\mathcal{H})$$

for all $\theta \in I_D$. Then, for every real number $\epsilon > 0$, there is a real number $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\|T^*_\theta S T_\theta - S\|_p < \epsilon$$

for each function $\theta \in I_D$ with $1 - |\theta(w)| < \delta$.

Proof. Let us assume that the assertion is not true. Then there are a positive real number $\epsilon > 0$ and a sequence $(\theta_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ in I_D with $1 - |\theta_k(w)| < 2^{-k}$ and

$$\|T^*_{\theta_k} S T_{\theta_k} - S\|_p \ge \epsilon$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Multiplying the functions θ_k with suitable complex numbers of modulus one, we can achieve that $\theta_k(w) > 0$ for all k.

Using part (i) and (iii) of Corollary 14 and Lemma 12, and arguing otherwise exactly as in the proof of Lemma 5 from [20], one can show that there are a strictly increasing sequence $(k(j))_{j\geq 1}$ of natural numbers and a sequence $(F_j)_{j\geq 1}$ of pairwise orthogonal finite-rank orthogonal projections such that the partial products

$$v_j = \prod_{\nu=1}^{j} \theta_{k(\nu)} \qquad (j \geq 1)$$

satisfy the inequalities

(i) $\|F_{j+1} W_j F_{j+1}\|_p \geq \epsilon/2$,

(ii) $\|(1 - F_{j+1}) W_j\|_p \leq 2^{-j}$,

(iii) $\|W_j (1 - F_{j+1})\|_p \leq 2^{-j}$

for all $j \geq 1$, where by definition

$$W_j = T^*_{v_j} (T^*_{\theta_{k(j+1)}} S T_{\theta_{k(j+1)}} - S) T_{v_j} \quad (j \geq 1).$$

Then, for each $j \geq 1$, the operator

$$K_j = W_j - F_{j+1} W_j F_{j+1} \in \mathcal{S}_p(\mathcal{H})$$

satisfies the estimate

$$\|K_j\|_p \leq \|(1 - F_{j+1}) W_j\|_p + \|F_{j+1} W_j (1 - F_{j+1})\|_p \leq 2^{-j+1}.$$

Hence $K = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} K_j \in \mathcal{S}_p(\mathcal{H})$ is a well-defined operator. Since the orthogonal projections F_j $(j \geq 1)$ are pairwise orthogonal, the series

$$B = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} B_j \quad \text{with} \quad B_j = F_{j+1} W_j F_{j+1} \in B(\mathcal{H})$$

converges in the strong operator topology. By construction, $B \notin S_p(\mathcal{H})$. Indeed, otherwise Lemma 13 would lead to the contradiction

$$\frac{\epsilon}{2} \leq \|F_{j+1} W_j F_{j+1}\|_p = \|F_{j+1} B F_{j+1}\|_p \leq \|F_{j+1} B\|_p \stackrel{k}{\to} 0.$$

Since $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |1 - \theta_{k(j)}(w)| < \infty$, it follows from Corollary 11 that the sequence $(v_j)_{j\geq 1}$ is weak* convergent in $H^\infty(D)$ to the inner function

$$v = \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \theta_{k(j)} \in I_D$$

and in combination with Lemma 13 we obtain that

$$\mathrm{SOT}-\lim_{j\to\infty} T_{v_j} = T_v \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{SOT}-\lim_{j\to\infty} T_{v_j}^* = T_v^*$$

in $B(\mathcal{H})$. By construction we have

$$K+B = \mathrm{SOT}-\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} W_j = \mathrm{SOT}-\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} T_{v_j}^*(T_{\theta_{k(j+1)}}^* ST_{\theta_{k(j+1)}} - S)T_{v_j}$$

$$= \mathrm{SOT}-\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}(T_{v_{j+1}}^* ST_{v_{j+1}} - T_{v_j}^* ST_{v_j})$$

$$= (T_v^* ST_v - S) - (T_{v_1}^* ST_{v_1} - S) \in \mathcal{S}_p(\mathcal{H}).$$

Since also $K \in \mathcal{S}_p(\mathcal{H})$, we obtain that $B \in \mathcal{S}_p(\mathcal{H})$. This contradiction completes the proof. □

After these preparations we can prove our main result on Schatten class perturbations of Toeplitz operators. As before, let $T \in B(\mathcal{H})^n$ be a regular A-isometry with respect to the domain algebra $A = A(D)$ of a bounded domain $D \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ such that the associated scalar spectral measure $\mu \in M_1^+(\partial_A)$ is a faithful Henkin measure.

17 Theorem. *Let $1 \le p < \infty$ and let $S \in B(\mathcal{H})$. Then*

$$T_\theta^* ST_\theta - S \in \mathcal{S}_p(\mathcal{H}) \text{ for all } \theta \in I_D$$

if and only if $S \in \mathcal{T}(T) + \mathcal{S}_p(\mathcal{H})$.

Proof. Let $S \in B(\mathcal{H})$ be an operator such that $T_\theta^* ST_\theta - S \in \mathcal{S}_p(\mathcal{H})$ for all $\theta \in I_D$. By the remarks preceding Proposition 16 it suffices to show that $S \in \mathcal{T}(T) + \mathcal{S}_p(\mathcal{H})$. Fix an arbitrary point $w \in D$. By Proposition 16 there is a number $\delta \in (0,1)$ such that

$$\|T_\theta^* ST_\theta - S\|_p < 1$$

for all $\theta \in I_D$ with $1 - |\theta(w)| < \delta$. Define $\alpha = 1 - \delta/2$. Since $(A(D)|\partial_A, \partial_A, \mu)$ is regular in the sense of Aleksandrov and since μ is continuous, there is a sequence $(\theta_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ in I_D with $w^* - \lim_{k\to\infty} \theta_k^* = \alpha$. Since

$$r_\mu : H^\infty(D) \to L^\infty(\mu)$$

has weak* closed range and since this map induces a weak* homeomorphisms onto its range $\operatorname{ran} r_\mu \supset H_A^\infty(\mu)$, it follows that $w^* - \lim_{k\to\infty} \theta_k = \alpha$ in $H^\infty(D)$. By passing to a subsequence we can achieve that $1 - |\theta_k(w)| < \delta$ for all k and that at the same time the limit

$$X = \mathrm{WOT}-\lim_{k\to\infty} T_{\theta_k}^* ST_{\theta_k} \in B(\mathcal{H})$$

exists. Then the remarks preceding Proposition 16 show that $S \in \mathcal{T}(T) + \mathcal{S}_p(\mathcal{H})$. □

It follows from the results in Section 5 of [6] that the canonical probability measure σ on the Shilov boundary of a smooth strictly pseudoconvex or bounded symmetric and circled domain D in $\mathbb{C}^n$ is a faithful Henkin measure. Since σ is a scalar spectral measure of the minimal normal extension $M_z \in B(L^2(\sigma))^n$ of the regular A-isometry $T_z \in B(H^2(\sigma))^n$ and since $W^*(M_z) \subset B(L^2(\sigma))$ is a maximal abelian W^*-algebra, Theorem 2 follows as an immediate application of Theorem 17.

References

[1] A.B. Aleksandrov, *Inner Functions on compact spaces*, Funct. Anal. Appl. 18 (1984), 87-98.

[2] M. Cotlar, C. Sadosky, *Abstract, weighted, and multidimensional Adamjan-Arov-Krein theorems, and the singular numbers of Sarason commutants*, Integral Equations Operator Theory 17 (1993), 169-201).

[3] H. G. Dales, P. Ainea, J. Eschmeier, K. Laursen, G. Willis, *Introduction to Banach algebras, operators, and harmonic analysis*, London Math. Soc. Student Texts, Vol. 57, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2003.

[4] K.R. Davidson, *On operators commuting with Toeplitz operators modulo the compact operators*, J. Funct. Anal. 24 (1977), 291-302.

[5] M. Didas, *Dual algebras generated by von Neuman n-tuples over strictly pseudoconvex sets*, Dissertationes Math. 425 (2004).

[6] M. Didas, J. Eschmeier, *Subnormal tuples on strictly pseudoconvex and bounded symmetric domains*, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) 71 (2005), 691-731.

[7] M. Didas, J. Eschmeier, *Inner functions and spherical isometries*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 139 (2011), 2877-2889.

[8] M. Didas, J. Eschmeier, K. Everard, *On the essential commutant of analytic Toeplitz operators associated with spherical isometries*, J. Funct. Anal. 261 (2011), 1361-1383.

[9] X. Ding, S. Sun, *Essential commutant of analytic Toeplitz operators*, Chinese Science Bulletin 42, No.7 (1997), 548-552.

[10] R. G. Douglas, *Banach algebra techniques in operator theory*, second ed., Grad. Texts in Math., Vol.179, Springer, New York, 1998.

[11] J. Eschmeier, K. Everard, *Toeplitz projections and essential commutants*, J. Funct. Anal. 269 (2015), 1115-1135.

[12] Q. Fang, J. Xia, *Schatten class membership of Hankel operators on the unit sphere*, J. Funct. Anal. 257 (10) (2009), 3082-3134.

[13] C. Gu, *On operators commuting with Toeplitz operators modulo the finite rank operators*, J. Funct. Anal. 215 (2004), 178-205.

[14] K. Guo, K. Wang, *On operators which commute with analytic Toeplitz operators modulo the finite rank operators*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 134 (2006), 2571-2576.

[15] K. Hasegawa, *Essential commutants of semicrossed products*, Canad. Math. Bull. 58 (2015), 91-104.

[16] F. Hiai, *Log-majorizations and norm inequalities for exponential operators*, Linear Operators, Banach Center Publications, Vol. 38, Institute of Mathematics, Polish Academy of Sciences, Warszawa, 1997.

[17] T. Le, *On the essential commutant of Toeplitz operators in several complex variables*, Integral Equations Operator Theory 59 (2007), 555-578.

[18] P.S. Muhly, J. Xia, *On automorphisms of the Toeplitz algebra*, Amer. J. Math. 122 (2000), 1121-1138.

[19] B. Prunaru, *Some exact sequences for Toeplitz algebras of spherical isometries*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 135 (2007), 3621-3630.

[20] J. Xia, *A characterization of compact perturbations of Toeplitz operators*, Transactions Amer. Math. Soc. 361 (2009), 5163-5175.

M. Didas, J. Eschmeier, D. Schillo
Fachrichtung Mathematik
Universität des Saarlandes
Postfach 151150
D-66041 Saarbrücken
Germany

didas@math.uni-sb.de, eschmei@math.uni-sb.de, schillo@math.uni-sb.de